Meeting Date: March 24-25, 2010

Page 1

# Delta Stewardship Council 2011-12 Legislative Session

## AB 127 (Logue R) Regulations: effective date.

**Introduced:** 1/11/2011

Status: 1/12/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee February 12.

**Summary:** Would require that a regulation or an order of repeal of a regulation become effective, instead, on January 1 next following a 90-day period after the date it is filed with the Secretary of State, except as provided.

## **Position**

No Position

#### AB 157 (Jeffries R) Safe, Clean, and Reliable Drinking Water Supply Act of 2012.

**Introduced:** 1/19/2011

Status: 2/24/2011-Referred to Coms. on W., P. & W. and E.S. & T.M.

**Summary:** Would reduce by 25% the total amount of bonds authorized to be issued pursuant to the Safe, Clean, and Reliable Drinking Water Supply Act of 2012, and would make conforming reductions to amounts specified to be allocated from these bond funds for certain purposes. This bill contains other related provisions.

#### **Position**

No Position

#### AB 275 (Solorio D) Rainwater Capture Act of 2011.

**Introduced:** 2/7/2011 **Last Amend:** 3/14/2011

Status: 3/15/2011-Re-referred to Com. on B., P. & C.P.

**Summary:** Would enact the Rainwater Capture Act of 2011, which would authorize landowners to install, maintain, and operate rain barrel systems, as defined, and rainwater capture systems, as defined, for specified purposes, provided that the systems comply with specified requirements. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### **Position**

Watch

#### AB 359 (Huffman D) Groundwater management plans.

**Introduced:** 2/14/2011

Status: 2/24/2011-Referred to Coms. on W., P. & W. and L. GOV.

**Summary:** Would require the local agency to provide a copy of a resolution of intention to the Department of Water Resources within 30 days of the date of adoption. The bill would require the local agency, upon written request, to provide a copy of the proposed groundwater management plan to an interested person. The bill would require the local agency to provide each of those interested persons with a specified notice at least 30 days prior to the commencement of the 2nd hearing to determine whether to adopt the plan. The bill would require the department to post on its Internet Web site the information the department possesses regarding the local agencies that have jurisdiction to develop groundwater management plans. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### **Position**

Defer to DWR

## AB 410 (Swanson D) Regulations: adoption: disability access.

**Introduced:** 2/14/2011

Status: 3/3/2011-Referred to Com. on B., P. & C.P.

**Summary:** Would require an agency, upon a request from a person with a visual disability or other disability for which effective communication is required under state or federal law, to provide that person a narrative description of the proposed regulation, as prescribed, and would provide for an extended public comment period for that person. The bill would also require an agency to include within the notice of proposed action a specified statement regarding the availability of narrative descriptions for persons with visual or other specified disabilities. This bill contains other existing laws.

#### Position

No Position

#### AB 425 (Nestande R) State regulations: review.

**Introduced:** 2/14/2011

**Status:** 2/15/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 17.

**Summary:** Would require, no later than December 31, 2012, each state entity that promulgates regulations to review those regulations, and repeal or report to the Legislature those identified as duplicative, archaic, or inconsistent with statute or other regulations. It would also require these entities to report to the Legislature by that date on regulations deemed to inhibit economic growth in the state.

## **Position**

No Position

## AB 429 (Knight R) Regulations: effective date.

Meeting Date: March 24-25, 2010

Page 2

**Introduced:** 2/14/2011

Status: 2/15/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 17.

**Summary:** Would require an agency, for any regulation that it has identified as having a gross cost of \$15,000,000 or more, an increased cost of 5% or more over the cost of an existing regulation, or both, to submit a copy of the rulemaking record for that regulation to the appropriate policy committee in each house of the Legislature when the agency submits the regulation to the office for approval. This bill contains other related provisions.

#### **Position**

No Position

#### AB 531 (Olsen R) Groundwater.

**Introduced:** 2/15/2011

**Status:** 2/16/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 18.

**Summary:** Existing law relating to groundwater management declares the intent of the Legislature to encourage local agencies to work cooperatively to manage groundwater resources within their jurisdictions, and makes related legislative findings and declarations. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to those legislative findings and declarations.

#### **Position**

No Position

## AB 535 (Morrell R) Regulations: 5-year review and report.

**Introduced:** 2/16/2011

**Status:** 2/17/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 19.

**Summary:** Would additionally require a state agency to review and report on regulations that it adopts or amends on and after January 1, 2012, 5 years after adoption, as specified. The bill would require that the review and report include 10 specified factors, including a summary of the written criticisms of the regulation received by the agency within the immediately preceding 5 years and the estimated economic, small business, and consumer impact of the regulation. The bill would require the Office of Administrative Law to make the review and report available on the office's Internet Web site.

#### **Position**

No Position

## AB 550 (Huber D) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta: peripheral canal.

**Introduced:** 2/16/2011

**Status:** 2/17/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 19.

**Summary:** Would prohibit the construction of a peripheral canal, as defined, that conveys water from a diversion point in the Sacramento River to a location south of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, unless expressly authorized by the Legislature. The bill would require the Legislative Analyst's Office to complete an economic feasibility analysis prior to the enactment of a statute authorizing the construction of a peripheral canal. The bill would prohibit the construction and operation of a peripheral canal from diminishing or negatively affecting the water supplies, water rights, or quality of water for water users within the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta watershed, or imposing any new burdens on infrastructure within, or financial burdens on persons residing in, the Delta or the Delta watershed.

#### **Position**

No Position

## AB 576 (Dickinson D) Delta Stewardship Council: planning and administration: fee.

**Introduced:** 2/16/2011

Status: 3/3/2011-Referred to Com. on W., P. & W.

**Summary:** Would require the council, by March 31, 2012, to adopt a fee on water supply contractors of the State Water Project and the federal Central Valley Project to fund a portion of the planning and administrative costs of the council.

#### **Position**

No Position

## AB 627 (Berryhill, Bill R) State Water Resources Development System: annual report.

**Introduced:** 2/16/2011

**Status:** 2/17/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 19.

**Summary:** Existing law requires the Department of Water Resources to prepare, and submit to the fiscal committees of the Legislature, an annual report relating to the budget for the State Water Resources Development System, and prescribes the information to be included in the annual report. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to this requirement.

#### **Position**

Watch

## AB 632 (Wagner R) Regulations: legislative notice.

**Introduced:** 2/16/2011

**Status:** 2/17/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 19.

**Summary:** Would require that the notice of proposed action also be submitted to the Legislature if it includes particular information relating to economic and cost impacts of the regulation on businesses and private persons.

Meeting Date: March 24-25, 2010

Page 3

Position

No Position

#### AB 685 (Eng D) State water policy.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

Status: 3/3/2011-Referred to Com. on W., P. & W.

**Summary:** Would declare that it is the established policy of the state that every human being has the right to clean, affordable, and accessible water for human consumption, cooking, and sanitary purposes, that is adequate for the health and well-being of the individual and family. The bill would require all relevant state agencies, including the Department of Water Resources, State Water Resources Control Board, and State Department of Public Health, to employ all reasonable means to implement this state policy. Those state agencies would be required to revise, adopt, or establish policies, regulations, and grant criteria to further this state policy, to the extent that those actions do not affect eligibility for federal funds.

#### **Position**

Watch

#### AB 745 (Valadao R) California Water Plan.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

Status: 2/18/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 20.

**Summary:** Existing law requires the Department of Water Resources to update every 5 years the plan for the orderly and coordinated control, protection, conservation, development, and use of the water resources of the state, which is known as the California Water Plan. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to that requirement.

#### **Position**

Watch

## AB 763 (Berryhill, Bill R) Department of Water Resources.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

Status: 3/7/2011-Referred to Com. on W., P. & W.

**Summary:** Would delete an obsolete reference from, and make technical, nonsubstantive changes to, the provisions establishing the department and providing for the appointment of the director.

## **Position**

Watch

#### **AB 779** (Fletcher R) Water transfers: public notice.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

**Status:** 2/18/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 20.

**Summary:** Would require a local public water agency to provide public notice to its customers prior to approving a transfer of water to the agency. By imposing notice requirements on local public water agencies, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

## **Position**

Watch

## AB 800 (Huber D) Boards and commissions: time reporting.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

Status: 3/10/2011-Referred to Com. on B., P. & C.P.

**Summary:** Would require that a member of a board or commission that meets specified requirements submit a quarterly report to the chair of the board or commission that details the time worked by the member fulfilling the duties of his or her position. This bill would also require that the chair of the board or commission submit a quarterly report to specified committees of the Legislature that contains copies of all of the time reports received by the chair.

## **Position**

No Position

## AB 849 (Gatto D) Water: use efficiency.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

**Status:** 2/18/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 20.

**Summary:** Would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to encourage the installation and implementation of residential and commercial water use efficiency measures, including, but not limited to, graywater and storm retention systems, to reduce or eliminate regulatory barriers for water use and efficiency, and if feasible, to provide incentives to increase investment in and use of graywater systems.

## Position

Watch

## AB 903 (Berryhill, Bill R) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

Status: 2/18/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 20.

**Summary:** Under existing law, legislative findings and declarations state, among other things, that the water problems of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta are unique within the state and that a general law cannot be made applicable to the Delta. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive

Meeting Date: March 24-25, 2010

Page 4

changes to those legislative findings and declarations.

## **Position**

Watch

## AB 968 (Chesbro D) California Indian tribes: state agencies.

Introduced: 2/18/2011

Status: 3/10/2011-Referred to Com. on G.O.

**Summary:** Existing law encourages and authorizes all state agencies to cooperate with federally recognized California Indian tribes on matters of economic development and improvement for the tribes. This bill instead would require all state agencies to cooperate with federally recognized California Indian tribes pursuant to these provisions. It would also require every state agency to adopt a policy of communication and consultation with, and require the Governor to meet at least annually with elected officials of, California Indian tribes, regardless of whether a tribe qualifies as a federally recognized California Indian tribe. This bill contains other related provisions.

#### **Position**

No Position

## AB 977 (Harkey R) Water.

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

Status: 2/20/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.

**Summary:** Existing law requires there to be incorporated in the planning and construction of any physical structure to provide for the conservation, storage, regulation, transportation, or use of water, constructed by the state itself or by the state in cooperation with the United States, features, including, additional storage capacity, that the Department of Water Resources determines necessary or desirable for the preservation of fish and wildlife, and necessary or desirable to permit, on a year-round basis, full utilization of the project for the enhancement of fish and wildlife and for recreational purposes to the extent that those features are consistent with other uses of the project, if any. In making this determination, existing law requires the department to give full consideration to any recommendations that may be made by the Department of Fish and Game, the Department of Parks and Recreation, the Department of Boating and Waterways, any federal agency, and any local governmental agency with jurisdiction over the area involved. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes in this provision.

## **Position**

Watch

#### AB 1002 (Butler D) California Water Plan: stormwater recovery.

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

Status: 3/14/2011-Referred to Com. on W., P. & W.

**Summary:** Would additionally require the department to include in the plan a discussion of strategies

relating to stormwater recovery.

#### **Position**

Watch

## AB 1037 (V. Manuel Pérez D) Regulations: small business impact.

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

**Status:** 3/14/2011-Referred to Coms. on B., P. & C.P. and J., E.D. & E.

**Summary:** Would declare that it is the intent of the Legislature that only the court not substitute its judgment for that of the rulemaking agency. This bill contains other related provisions and other policy laws.

existing laws.

## **Position**

No Position

## AB 1152 (Chesbro D) Groundwater.

Introduced: 2/18/2011

**Status:** 2/20/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.

**Summary:** Would instead require the department to contact, for those purposes, all well owners within the unmonitored part of the groundwater basin or subbasin, and the county or counties and other specified entities overlying the unmonitored part of the groundwater basin or subbasin. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### **Position**

Watch

## AB 1200 (Ma D) Department of Water Resources: state-constructed water projects: recreation development.

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

Status: 2/20/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.

**Summary:** Existing law requires the Department of Water Resources to plan recreation development associated with state-constructed water projects and to acquire land necessary to implement and execute plans for that development pursuant to legislative authorization. Existing law authorizes the department, in carrying out those duties, to enter into contracts, leases, and agreements with state agencies, the federal government, local public agencies, and other persons. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to these provisions.

**Position** 

Meeting Date: March 24-25, 2010

Page 5

Watch

## AB 1213 (Nielsen R) Regulations: philosophy and principles of regulation.

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

Status: 2/20/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.

**Summary:** Would adopt the regulatory philosophy and the principles of regulation, as outlined in Presidential Executive Order 12866 and Presidential Executive Order 13563, in order to achieve the same regulatory benefits within the state by directing agencies, among other things, to improve public participation in the rulemaking process, to reduce redundant, inconsistent, or overlapping regulations through increased agency coordination to improve flexibility, and to develop and submit to the office a preliminary plan under which the agency will periodically review its existing significant regulations to determine whether any regulations should be modified, streamlined, expanded, or repealed.

#### **Position**

No Position

#### AB 1283 (Berryhill, Bill R) Safe, Clean, and Reliable Drinking Water Supply Act of 2012.

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

Status: 2/22/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.

**Summary:** Would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation relating to the Safe, Clean, and Reliable Drinking Water Supply Act of 2012.

#### **Position**

Watch

## AB 1322 (Bradford D) Regulations: principles of regulation.

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

Status: 2/22/2011-From printer. May be heard in committee March 22.

**Summary:** Would adopt the regulatory philosophy and the principles of regulation, as outlined in Presidential Executive Order 12866, in order to achieve the same regulatory benefits within the state, as specified.

#### **Position**

No Position

#### SB 34 (Simitian D) Water infrastructure projects: fees.

**Introduced:** 12/6/2010

Status: 1/20/2011-Referred to Com. on RLS.

**Summary:** Would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to develop a fee-based system to pay for costs associated with updating and modernizing water infrastructure projects in the state. The bill would express legislative intent with respect to the imposition of the fees and use of the fee revenues.

#### **Position**

Watch

## SB 52 (Steinberg D) Water quality: Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District.

**Introduced:** 12/15/2010

Status: 1/20/2011-Referred to Com. on E.Q.

**Summary:** Would appropriate \$50,000,000 to the department from the above bond acts, as specified, to provide financial assistance to the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District to offset rate increases associated with the costs of capital improvements to the district's regional sewage treatment plant as a result of the issuance of a specified national pollutant discharge elimination system permit and waste discharge requirements. This bill contains other existing laws.

#### **Position**

Watch

## **SB 120** (Anderson R) State funds: registered warrants.

**Introduced:** 1/24/2011 **Last Amend:** 3/14/2011

Status: 3/14/2011-From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-

referred to Com. on G.O.

**Summary:** Would require a state agency to accept, from any person or entity, a registered warrant or other similar evidence of indebtedness issued by the Controller that is endorsed by that payee, at full face value, for the payment of any obligations owed by that payee to that state agency. This bill contains other related provisions.

## **Position**

No Position

## **SB 200** (Wolk D) State water facilities: Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta: Delta conveyance facility.

**Introduced:** 2/8/2011

Status: 2/17/2011-Referred to Coms. on N.R. & W. and E.Q.

**Summary:** Would prohibit the construction of a new Delta conveyance facility, as defined, unless specified conditions are met, including (A) the adoption of an agreement by the Department of Water Resources and the Department of Fish and Game that specifies the stages of construction of the new Delta conveyance facility and (B) the establishment plans and agreements for the construction of specified water facilities and implementation of specified water programs meeting prescribed

Meeting Date: March 24-25, 2010

Page 6

conditions as part of the state Central Valley Project. The bill would prohibit the transportation of water for the federal Central Valley Project through state project facilities, with specified exceptions, unless certain conditions are met. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### **Position**

Watch

## **SB 224** (Pavley D) Public Contracts: Department of Water Resources.

**Introduced:** 2/9/2011

Status: 2/17/2011-Referred to Com. on N.R. & W.

**Summary:** Would also exempt from that law specified contracts entered into by the Department of Water Resources, but would exclude from that exemption contracts for the sale, delivery, or use of water or power from the State Water Resources Development System.

#### **Position**

Watch

## **SB 353** (Blakeslee R) Regulations: economic analysis.

Introduced: 2/15/2011

**Status:** 3/10/2011-Set for hearing April 12.

**Summary:** Would require an agency to enter into an agreement for the performance of an external economic peer review, as specified, of an agency's economic assessment for any major rule, as defined. The bill would prohibit an agency from taking any action to adopt the final version of a major rule unless certain conditions relating to the external economic peer review are met. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### Position

No Position

#### **SB 366** (Calderon D) Regulations: agency review.

**Introduced:** 2/15/2011

**Status:** 3/9/2011-Set for hearing April 12.

**Summary:** Would until January 1, 2013, require each state agency, defined, to mean every state office, officer, department, division, bureau, board, and commission, except the California State University within 180 days of the effective date of the bill, to undertake specified actions in regards to the regulations that have been adopted by the state agency, including, among others, identifying any regulations that are duplicative, overlapping, inconsistent, or out of date, and adopting, amending, or repealing regulations to reconcile or eliminate any duplication, overlap, inconsistency, or out-of-date provisions, after conducting a publicly noticed hearing, as specified, and using procedures for adopting emergency regulations. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

## **Position**

No Position

## SB 396 (Huff R) Regulations: review process.

**Introduced:** 2/16/2011

**Status:** 3/9/2011-Set for hearing April 12.

**Summary:** Would require each agency to review each regulation adopted prior to January 1, 1990, and to develop a report with prescribed information that shall be submitted to the Legislature on or before January 1, 2013. The bill would also require each agency, on or before January 1, 2018, and at least every 5 years thereafter, to conduct additional reviews of regulations that have been in effect for at least 20 years, as specified, and to submit an annual report to the Legislature that identifies the regulations reviewed during that year and the associated findings.

#### **Position**

No Position

## **SB 400** (**Dutton** R) Regulations: impact on businesses.

**Introduced:** 2/16/2011

Status: 3/9/2011-Set for hearing April 12.

**Summary:** Would require that the impact assessment include specified additional criteria. The bill would also require the agency to submit economic assessments for certain regulations to the office for purposes of reviewing them and determining whether the assessment is based upon sound economic knowledge, methods, and practices, as specified. The bill would also require the office to reject a regulation that is based upon an economic assessment that was determined to not be based on sound economic knowledge, methods, and practices, as specified.

## Position

No Position

## **SB 401** (Fuller R) Regulations: repeal provisions.

**Introduced:** 2/16/2011

Status: 3/10/2011-Set for hearing April 12.

**Summary:** Would require that every regulation proposed by an agency after January 1, 2012, include a provision repealing the regulation in 5 years. The bill would prohibit the office from approving a proposed regulation unless it contains repeal provisions. The bill would authorize an agency, in the year prior to a regulation's scheduled repeal, to amend the regulation to extend the repeal date, as specified, after complying with certain public hearing requirements.

#### **Position**

Meeting Date: March 24-25, 2010

Page 7

No Position

#### SB 409 (La Malfa R) Flood control: Department of Water Resources.

Introduced: 2/16/2011

Status: 2/24/2011-Referred to Com. on RLS.

**Summary:** Existing law authorizes the Department of Water Resources to make examinations of lands subject to inundation and overflow by flood waters and of the waters causing the inundation or overflow and authorizes the department to make plans and estimates of the cost of works to regulate and control the flood waters. This bill would make technical, nonsusbtantive changes to this provision.

## **Position**

Watch

## **SB 434** (Anderson R) Water: delivery and storage.

**Introduced:** 2/16/2011

Status: 2/24/2011-Referred to Com. on RLS.

**Summary:** Would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to enhance delivery of public water resources and storage.

#### **Position**

Watch

#### SB 494 (Fuller R) California regional water quality control boards: perchloroethylene.

Introduced: 2/17/2011

**Status:** 3/3/2011-Referred to Com. on RLS.

**Summary:** Would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would transfer responsibility for the investigation and remediation of perchloroethylene contamination to the regional boards.

## **Position**

Watch

## **SB 496** (Fuller R) State Water Resources Development System.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

Status: 3/3/2011-Referred to Com. on RLS.

**Summary:** The Department of Water Resources operates the State Water Resources Development System, commonly referred to as the State Water Project, in accordance with the California Water Resources Development Bond Act. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to a provision that authorizes the issuance of bond funds in the amount of \$1,750,000,000 for the purposes of that bond act.

#### **Position**

Watch

## **SB 553** (Fuller R) Regulations: effective date.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

Status: 3/10/2011-Set for hearing April 12.

**Summary:** Would require that a regulation or an order of repeal of a regulation become effective, instead, 180 days after the date it is filed with the Secretary of State, except as provided.

## **Position**

No Position

## SB 560 (Wright D) Regulations: small businesses.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

**Status:** 3/3/2011-Referred to Coms. on G.O. and RLS.

**Summary:** Would require the agency, if it does not, or is unable to, consult with these parties to inform in writing the Office of Small Business Advocate and the Department of Finance of its decision and the reasons for not consulting the impacted businesses. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

## **Position**

No Position

## (Wolk D) California Water Commission: master plan for financing and developing water resources: state-funded projects and programs.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

Status: 3/3/2011-Referred to Com. on N.R. & W.

**Summary:** Would require the commission on or before January 1, 2013, to develop a master plan for financing and developing water resources in the state, including specified assessments and recommendations. The bill would require the commission to update the master plan every 5 years. The bill would require the commission to annually review and audit the award of state funds for water resources projects and programs; to develop, consistent with the master plan, a prioritized list of projects and programs relating to water supply, water quality, water conservation, water use efficiency, ecosystem and watershed restoration, and integrated regional water management planning and implementation, for purposes of awarding state financial assistance for those projects and programs; and to establish guidelines for the award of state financial assistance allocated for integrated regional water management plans, as specified. The bill would also declare legislative intent that the commission be given authority to allocate specified state funds for water resources

Meeting Date: March 24-25, 2010

Page 8

projects and programs.

#### **Position**

Watch

#### **SB 591** (Gaines R) Administrative regulations: reductions.

**Introduced:** 2/17/2011

Status: 3/3/2011-Referred to Coms. on G.O. and RLS.

**Summary:** Would until December 31, 2021, enact the California Smart Regulation Act. The bill would require, on or before July 1, 2012, that a state agency determine how many regulations it imposes and, on or before December 31, 2013, to reduce the total number of regulations it has identified by 33%. The bill would require an agency to give priority to eliminating regulations that increase the regulatory burden on businesses and the business climate. The bill would also require, until December 31, 2021, that any new regulation proposed by an agency also eliminate another regulation. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### **Position**

No Position

## SB 607 (Walters R) State Water Resources Control Board: report: brackish groundwater sources.

Introduced: 2/17/2011

**Status:** 3/3/2011-Referred to Com. on RLS.

**Summary:** Would require the board, on or before July 1, 2012, after consultation and collaboration with the Department of Water Resources, to submit a report to the Legislature on impediments to the development of potable water from local brackish groundwater sources. The bill would repeal the reporting requirement on January 1, 2016, pursuant to a specified provision of existing law.

#### Position

Watch

#### **SB 617** (Calderon D) State government: financial accountability.

Introduced: 2/18/2011

Status: 3/9/2011-Set for hearing April 12.

**Summary:** Would rename that act the State Government Fraud Prevention, Detection, and Financial Integrity Monitoring and Accountability Act of 2011, and require that effective, independent, and ongoing monitoring of the internal accounting and administrative controls of state agencies be included within that system or systems. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

## **Position**

No Position

#### SB 665 (La Malfa R) Water: public trust doctrine: groundwater.

Introduced: 2/18/2011

Status: 3/3/2011-Referred to Com. on RLS.

**Summary:** Would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would clarify that the public trust doctrine does not extend to groundwater.

#### **Position**

Watch

## SB 688 (Wright D) Regulations: legislative approval.

Introduced: 2/18/2011

**Status:** 3/3/2011-Referred to Coms. on G.O. and RLS.

**Summary:** Would also require an agency to produce, as part of the required impact assessment, a detailed estimate of the total actual costs of compliance for affected businesses and individuals. This bill would require the agency to notify specified committees of the Legislature if the estimated total costs of compliance exceed an unspecified amount, as specified. This bill contains other related provisions.

#### **Position**

No Position

## **SB 710** (La Malfa R) State Water Project.

Introduced: 2/18/2011

**Status:** 3/3/2011-Referred to Com. on RLS.

**Summary:** Would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would address costs to local governments caused by State Water Project operators.

## **Position**

Watch

## **SB 834** (Wolk D) Integrated regional water management plans: contents.

**Introduced:** 2/18/2011

Status: 3/10/2011-Referred to Com. on N.R. & W.

**Summary:** Would additionally require an integrated regional water management plan to demonstrate the manner in which the plan complies with a specified state policy concerning reducing reliance on the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta for water supply and improving regional self-reliance for water, if the region covered by the plan receives water supply that originates in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, as defined.

Agenda Item: 4a Meeting Date: March 24-25, 2010 Page 9

**Position** 

Watch

Total Measures: 53 Total Tracking Forms: 53